

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/049,577 09/19/2002 Bernard H Kear Kear-2 9692 EXAMINER 28581 7590 10/17/2003 DUANE MORRIS LLP BAREFORD, KATHERINE A 100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST, SUITE 100 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PRINCETON, NJ 08540-6604 1762

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/049,577	KEAR ET AL.	KEAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762	1	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress	
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2003 FAILS TO PL. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendment oppeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper report which places the application	oly to a cation in	
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b	p)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date o no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a)	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the date xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHE. The date on which the petition und	ne mailing date of the final rejection S OF THE FINAL REJECTION er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app	ction. I. See MPEP propriate extension	
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the purpose of determining the purpose of the expiration date of the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	ate of the shortened statutory period e Office later than three months after	for reply originally set in the fina	al Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37)				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ed because:			
(a)  they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);			
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or s	implifying the	
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without ca</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	nceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected clain	ns.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	ejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1,3-16 a</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable clair	nd 18-28 would be allowable if n(s).	submitted in a separate,	timely filed	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NC	OT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which we	re newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amended explanation of how the new or amended claim			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,3-16 and 18-28</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 29-33.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) _ approved or b) _	disapproved by the Exam	niner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).		

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/049,577

Art Unit: 1762

## Advisory Action

Continuation of Box 4 of Advisory Action Form PTOL-303:

Newly amended claims 1, 3-16 and 18-28 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claims.

The Examiner notes that applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 16 to include the allowable subject matter of dependent claims 2 and 17, respectively.

Continuation of Box 5 of Advisory Action Form PTOL-303:

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in conditions for allowance because:

While claims 1, 3-16 and 18-28 are in condition for allowance as discussed with regard to Box 4 above, claims 29-33 are still rejected.

While applicant has proposed to amend independent claim 29 to provide that the product coating includes micron-scale particles of a hard phase material "arranged in particle aggregates fused together with the binder phase material", these claims remain rejected by Connolly in view of WO 97/18341 for the reasons given in the Final Rejection. While independent claims 1 and 16 now require hard phase material to be "arranged in particle aggregates" at the blending stage, claim 29 only requires the hard phase material to be "arranged in particle aggregates" at the final, product stage. Here, it is the Examiner's position that Connolly would provide the hard phase material in particle aggregates when making the powder mixture (see the discussion on page 3 of the Final Rejection, where it is noted that the blended powders of Connolly are first agglomerated

Application/Control Number: 10/049,577

Art Unit: 1762

by spray drying and then calcined by heat treating, which would produce "aggregates" within the meaning used by applicant). These aggregated hard phase materials would remain in that form in the product coating due to the selective melting during application (see the discussion at page 5 of the Final Rejection).

Applicant has argued in the After Final Amendment Remarks that the Examiner has refused to give the term "aggregating" the clear meaning provided in the specification and has furthermore provided an improper definition from a non-technical dictionary. The Examiner notes these arguments, however the Final Rejection is maintained. As to the use of applicant's definition of "aggregating" this Examiner has used this definition as required. The definition has been used in interpreting applicant's claims and specification, which is what applicant's own definition applies to. The Examiner has determined that in order to meet the claims, an "aggregate" within applicant's defined meaning must be provided. However, when interpreting Connolly, the Examiner is not required to apply applicant's own definition to the terminology used in Connolly, merely to determine if Connolly in fact provides material that reads on applicant's definition. Thus, the Examiner as turned to the generally understood dictionary definition to determine what is meant by the terminology in Connolly. As to the dictionary definition used by the Examiner, the Examiner understands a general dictionary to provide the meaning understood by one of ordinary skill in the art and has provided such a definition. If applicant disagrees with the Examiner's position as to what would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, then applicant needs to provide a demonstration that the general

Art Unit: 1762

definition does not apply to the art. It is not necessary for the Examiner to start with a technical dictionary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine A. Bareford whose telephone number is (703) 308-0078. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:00-4:30) with the First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

(ATHERINE A. BAREFORD PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100-1700